

# N-dimensional geometries and Einstein equations from systems of PDEs

Emanuel Gallo<sup>♠</sup>, Magdalena Marciano-Melchor<sup>♣</sup> and Gilberto Silva-Ortigoza<sup>♣</sup>

<sup>♠</sup> *FaMAF, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina.*

<sup>♣</sup> *Facultad de Ciencias Físico Matemáticas de la Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Apartado Postal 1152, Puebla, Pue., México.*

PACS: 04.20.Cv; 02.40.-K

## Abstract

The aim of the present work is twofold: first, we show how all the  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian and Lorentzian metrics can be constructed from a certain class of systems of second-order PDE's which are in duality to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation and second we impose the Einstein equations to these PDE's.

# 1 Introduction

Towards the end of the 19th century and early 20th century Tresse, Wünschmann, Lie, Cartan and Chern ([1]-[9]), studied the classification of second- and third-order ODE's according to their equivalence classes under a variety of transformations and the resulting induced geometries on the solution spaces. In particular, Cartan ([5]-[8]) and Chern [9] found that for a certain subclass of third-order ODE's, a unique Lorentzian conformal metric can be constructed in a natural way on the solution space. This subclass was defined by the vanishing of a specific relative invariant, defined from the differential equation and first obtained by Wünschmann [3]. It is now referred to as the Wünschmann invariant. In a more recent work Tod [10] showed how the Einstein-Weyl spaces can be obtained from a particular class of third-order differential equations.

In a more recent series of papers Frittelli, Kozameh, Newman, Kamran and Nurowski, ([11]-[18]) were able to generalize this result. They showed that all four-dimensional conformal Lorentzian geometries were encoded in equivalence classes (under contact transformations) of pairs of second-order PDE's that were characterized by the vanishing of an analogous (generalized) Wünschmann invariant, referred to as the *metricity conditions*. In this approach, referred to as the null surface formulation of general relativity, the metric of the space-time is a derived concept. The fundamental objects are two functions,  $Z(x^a, s, s^*)$  and  $\Omega(x^a, s, s^*)$ , of the space-time points  $x^a$  and parametrized by points on the sphere; that is, by functions defined on

$\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}^2$  (the sphere bundle over the space-time). The first of the functions,  $Z(x^a, s, s^*)$ , which encodes all the conformal information of the space-time, describes a sphere's worth of surfaces through each space-time point. It is from these surfaces that a conformal metric can be constructed. The second function,  $\Omega(x^a, s, s^*)$ , which plays the role of a conformal factor, converts it into any metric in the conformal class. The level surfaces of  $Z(x^a, s, s^*)$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , for each fixed value of  $(s, s^*)$ , are null hypersurfaces with respect to this metric. As  $(s, s^*)$  take different values on  $\mathcal{S}^2$  at a fixed point  $x^a$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , the normals to the null hypersurfaces sweep out the null-cone at  $x^a$ .

To establish this new approach to general relativity, these authors began with a four-dimensional Lorentzian manifold, already containing a metric  $g_{ab}$  and a complete integral to the eikonal equation

$$g^{ab}(x^a)\nabla_a Z \nabla_b Z = 0. \quad (1)$$

A complete integral, expressed as,

$$u = Z(x^a, s, s^*), \quad (2)$$

contains the space-time coordinates,  $x^a$ , and the needed (for a complete integral) two-parameters  $(s, s^*)$ . By constructing the four functions,

$$\theta^i \equiv (u, \omega, \omega^*, R) \equiv (Z, \partial_s Z, \partial_{s^*} Z, \partial_{s^* s} Z), \quad (3)$$

from Eq.(2) and its derivatives, and by eliminating  $x^a$ , via the algebraic inversion

$$x^a = X^a(s, s^*, \theta^i), \quad (4)$$

they found that  $u = Z(x^a, s, s^*)$  satisfies in addition to Eq. (2) the pair of second-order partial differential equations in  $s, s^*$ , of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{ss}Z &= \Lambda(Z, \partial_s Z, \partial_{s^*} Z, \partial_{ss^*} Z, s, s^*), \\ \partial_{s^*s^*}Z &= \Lambda^*(Z, \partial_s Z, \partial_{s^*} Z, \partial_{ss^*} Z, s, s^*).\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

The  $x^a$ , in the solution of Eq. (5), appear now as constants of integration. The roles of  $x^a$  and  $(s, s^*)$  are thereby interchanged. Note that the metric has disappeared from the equations.

The question then was, could this procedure be reversed? Could one start with a pair of equations of the form, (5), and then find the eikonal equation, (1), with a metric  $g^{ab}(x^a)$ ?

It was shown that when the functions  $(\Lambda, \Lambda^*)$  satisfy an integrability condition, a weak inequality and a certain set of differential conditions (the metricity or generalized Wünschmann conditions), the procedure can be reversed. The solutions to the pair do determine a conformal four-dimensional Lorentzian metric and, in fact, all conformal Lorentzian metrics can be obtained from equivalence classes of equations of the form Eq. (5). When certain specific conditions [19, 20], in addition to the Wünschmann condition, are imposed on the  $(\Lambda, \Lambda^*)$ , the metrics, determined by the solutions, are in the vacuum conformal Einstein class.

In a recent work we presented a new approach to 4-dimensional general relativity, which is similar to the null surface formulation, but now instead of using a complete integral to the eikonal equation, we used a complete integral to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. The aim of the present work is to

generalize our previous results.

In section 2 we begin with an  $n$ -dimensional manifold,  $\mathcal{M}$ , with no further structure and then investigate arbitrary  $(n-1)$ -parameter families of surfaces on  $\mathcal{M}$  given by

$$u = \text{constant} = Z(x^a, s^i). \quad (6)$$

The  $x^a$  are local coordinates on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $s^i$  parametrize the families and can take values on an open neighborhood of a manifold  $\mathcal{N}$  of dimension  $(n-1)$ . More specifically, we then ask when do such families of surfaces define an  $n$ -dimensional metric,  $g_{ab}(x^a)$ , such that

$$g^{ab} \nabla_a Z(x^a, s^i) \nabla_b Z(x^a, s^i) = 1. \quad (7)$$

We have here either taken the mass in the H-J equation to be 1 or put it into the  $g^{ab}$  as a factor.

By taking  $(s^i)$  derivatives of Eq. (6) and eliminating the  $x^a$ , we will show that the  $u = Z(x^a, s^i)$  must also satisfy a system of  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  second-order PDE's

$$\partial_{s^i s^j} Z = \Lambda_{ij}(u, w^i, s^i) = \Lambda_{ij}(u, \partial_{s^i} Z, s^i), \quad (8)$$

where  $\Lambda_{ij}$ , are restricted to satisfy certain metricity or ‘Wünschmann-like’ conditions.

Here  $\partial_{s^i}$ , denotes the partial derivative with respect to the parameter  $s^i$ . Observe that in the solutions of Eqs. (8)  $u = Z(x^a, s^i)$ , the  $x^a$  are  $n$  constants

of integration for Eqs. (8) while the ‘ $s^i$ ’ are  $n - 1$  integration constants for Eq. (7). Observe that  $u = Z(x^a, s^i)$  is a complete integral to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (7).

In this section we also remark that the  $n$ -dimensional metric  $g_{ab}(x^a)$  associated with the system of partial differential equations, (8), is invariant under a subset of contact transformations of the differential equations.

In section 3, we present our new formulation of  $n$ -dimensional general relativity. For this purpose we substitute the  $n$ -dimensional metric already obtained in section 2 into the Einstein equations. From our results we conclude that the Einstein equations in  $n$  dimensions can be reformulated as equations for families of  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional surfaces given by the level surfaces of  $u = Z(x^a, s^i)$ .

As in four dimensions, this new point of view can be given in either of two versions. In the first version, the variables are the  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  functions,  $\Lambda_{ij}$ , of the  $2n - 1$  variables  $(u, w^i, s^i)$ , i.e., the right-side of Eqs. (8). These functions must satisfy three sets of equations; the integrability conditions, the Wünschmann-like conditions and a further condition obtained from the Einstein equations. The metric, on a  $n$ -manifold, can be written down directly in terms of these  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  functions and their derivatives. *There is no need to use the set*, Eqs. (8). In the second version one uses the same set of  $\Lambda_{ij}$  in the right-side of Eqs. (8) and solves for the  $Z(x^a, s^i)$ . The metric is then written in terms of the  $Z(x^a, s^i)$  and its derivatives. The advantage of the

first version is that one does not need to solve Eqs. (8), but one has to extract (algebraically) the  $n$ -manifold from the  $(u, \partial_i u)$  while in the second version the four-manifold is explicitly given by the four constants of integration,  $x^a$ .

It is important to remark that no claim is made that this approach to the  $n$ -dimensional Einstein equations has any obvious advantage over the usual metric approach. It however does give certain mathematical insights into the differential geometry associated with general relativity in  $n$  dimensions.

## 2 N-D metrics and the metricity or Wünschmann-like conditions

We start with an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  (with local coordinates  $x^a = (x^0, \dots, x^{n-1})$ ) and assume we are given an  $(n-1)$ -parameter set of functions  $u = Z(x^a, s^i)$ . As we said the parameters  $s^i$  can take values on an open neighborhood of a manifold  $\mathcal{N}$  of dimension  $(n-1)$ . We also assume that for fixed values of the parameters  $s^i$  the level surfaces

$$u = \text{constant} = Z(x^a, s^i), \quad (9)$$

locally foliate the manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  and that  $u = Z(x^a, s^i)$  satisfies the H-J equation

$$g^{ab}(x^a) \nabla_a Z(x^a, s^i) \nabla_b Z(x^a, s^i) = 1, \quad (10)$$

for some unknown metric  $g_{ab}(x^a)$ .

The basic idea now is to solve Eq. (10) for the components of the metric in terms of  $\nabla_a Z(x^a, s^i)$ . To do so, we will consider a number of parameter derivatives of the condition (10), and then by manipulation of these derivatives, obtain both the  $n$ -dimensional metric and the system of partial differential equations defining the surfaces plus the conditions these PDE's must satisfy. They will be referred to as the metricity or Wünschmann-like conditions.

**Remark 1:** *The notation is as follows: there will be two types of differentiation, one is with respect to the local coordinates,  $x^a$ , of the manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ , denoted by  $\nabla_a$  or “comma  $a$ ,” the other is with respect to the parameters  $s^i$  denoted by  $\partial_{s^i} \equiv \partial_i$ .*

From the assumed existence of  $u = Z(x^a, s^i)$ , we define  $n$  parameterized scalars  $\theta^A$  in the following way

$$\theta^A = (Z, w^i) \equiv (Z, \partial_i Z). \quad (11)$$

**Remark 2:** *For each value of  $s^i$ , Eqs. ( 11) can be thought of as a coordinate transformation between the  $x^a$ 's and  $(u, w^i)$ .*

We also define the following  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  important scalars

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{ij} = \partial_{ij} Z(x^a, s^i). \quad (12)$$

In what follows we will assume that Eqs. (11) can be inverted, i.e., solved for the  $x^a$ 's;

$$x^a = X^a(u, w^i, s^i).$$



Eqs. (12) can then be rewritten as

$$\partial_{ij}Z = \Lambda_{ij}(u, w^i, s^i). \quad (13)$$

This means that the  $(n - 1)$ -parameter family of level surfaces, Eq. (9), can be obtained as solutions to the system of  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  second-order PDE's (13). Note that  $\Lambda_{ij}$  satisfy the integrability conditions

$$D_{s^k}\Lambda_{ij} = D_{s^i}\Lambda_{kj} = D_{s^j}\Lambda_{ki}, \quad (14)$$

where

**Definition 1:** *The total  $s^i$  derivative of a function  $F = F(u, w^i, s^i)$  is defined by*

$$D_{s^k}F = F_{s^k} + F_{w^l}\Lambda_{lk}. \quad (15)$$

The solution space of Eqs. (13) is  $n$ -dimensional. This can be seen in the following way. The system of PDE's (13) is equivalent to the vanishing of the  $n$  one-forms,  $\omega^A = (\omega^0, \omega^i)$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^0 &\equiv du - w^l ds^l, \\ \omega^i &\equiv dw^i - \Lambda_{im} ds^m. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

A simple calculation, using the integrability conditions on  $\Lambda_{ij}$ , leads to  $d\omega^A = 0$  (*modulo*  $\omega^A$ ) from which, via the Frobenius Theorem, we conclude that the solution space of Eqs. (13) is  $n$ -dimensional.

From the  $n$  scalars,  $\theta^A$ , we have their associated gradient basis  $\theta^A_{,a}$  given by

$$\theta^A_{,a} = \nabla_a \theta^A = \{Z_{,a}, w^i_{,a}\}, \quad (17)$$

and its dual vector basis  $\theta_A{}^a$ , so that

$$\theta_A{}^a \theta^B{}_{,a} = \delta_A{}^B, \quad \theta_A{}^a \theta^A{}_{,b} = \delta_b{}^a. \quad (18)$$

It is easier to search for the components of the  $n$ -dimensional metric in the gradient basis rather than in the original coordinate basis. Furthermore, it is preferable to use the contravariant components rather than the covariant components of the metric; i.e., we want to determine

$$g^{AB}(x^a, s^i) = g^{ab}(x^a) \theta^A{}_{,a} \theta^B{}_{,b}. \quad (19)$$

The metric components and the Wünschmann-like conditions are obtained by repeatedly operating with  $\partial_i$  on Eq. (10), which, by definition, is

$$g^{00} = g^{ab} Z_{,a} Z_{,b} = 1. \quad (20)$$

Applying  $\partial_i$  to Eq. (20) yields  $\partial_i g^{00} = 2g^{ab} \partial_i Z_{,a} Z_{,b} = 0$ , i.e.,

$$g^{i0} = 0. \quad (21)$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{ji}(g^{00}/2) &= g^{ab} \partial_{ji} Z_{,a} Z_{,b} + g^{ab} \partial_i Z_{,a} \partial_j Z_{,b} \\ &= g^{ab} \Lambda_{ij,a} Z_{,b} + g^{ij} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Since, by the assumed linear independence of  $(Z_{,a}, \partial_i Z_{,a})$ ,

$$\Lambda_{ij,a} = \Lambda_u Z_{,a} + \Lambda_{ij,w^k} \partial_k Z_{,a}, \quad (23)$$

Eq. (22), using Eqs. (20)-(23), is equivalent to

$$g^{ij} = -\Lambda_{ij,u}. \quad (24)$$

Therefore, the final result is

$$(g^{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\Lambda_{ij,u} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

**Remark 3:** We require that  $\det(g^{ij}) = \Delta$  be different from zero, with

$$\Delta \equiv \det(-\Lambda_{ij,u}). \quad (26)$$

Finally the metricity or Wünschmann-like conditions are obtained from the third derivatives, i.e., from  $\partial_{lji}g^{00} = 0$ . By a direct computation we obtain that

$$D_{s^k} [\Lambda_{mn,u}] = \Lambda_{ln,u} \Lambda_{km,w^l} + \Lambda_{lm,u} \Lambda_{kn,w^l}. \quad (27)$$

In  $n$  dimensions, with  $n \geq 2$ , there will be  $\frac{n(n^2-1)}{6}$  Wünschmann-type conditions. For example for  $n = 2$  we have a second-order ODE and one Wünschmann condition, for  $n = 3$  we have a system of three second-order PDE's and four Wünschmann conditions and for  $n = 4$  we have a system of six second-order PDE's and ten Wünschmann conditions.

Summarizing:

a) If we start from a complete integral,  $u = Z(x^a, s^i)$  to the H-J equation, (10), then it satisfies the system of  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  second-order PDE's (13), with  $\Lambda_{ij}$  satisfying Eqs. (14) and the Wünschmann-like conditions (27); In other words, in the solution space of Eqs. (13) there is the naturally defined metric

$$g^{ab} = g^{AB} \theta_A^a \theta_B^b, \quad (28)$$

where  $g^{AB}$  is given by Eq. (25).

b) If we start with a system of  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  second-order PDE's (13), where  $\Lambda_{ij}$  satisfy Eqs. (27) and the integrability conditions, (14), then in its solution space there exist a natural  $n$ -dimensional metric given by Eq. (25). Though it might appear as if the metric components depend on the parameters  $s^i$ , the Wünschmann-like conditions guarantees that they do not. Furthermore, the solutions  $u = Z(x^a, s^i)$  satisfy the H-J equation

$$g^{ab}\nabla_a Z(x^a, s^i)\nabla_b Z(x^a, s^i) = 1,$$

with the just determined metric, Eq. (28).

**Remark 4:** *From the results presented above we conclude that solving the  $n$ -dimensional H-J equation, in an  $n$ -dimensional background space-time, is equivalent to solving a system of  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  second-order PDE's.*

In some of the earlier work on the eikonal equation in three- and four-dimensional Lorentzian spaces, it was proved that the conformal Lorentzian metrics associated with third-order ODE's and pairs of second-order PDE's satisfying the Wünschmann condition and generalized Wünschmann condition, is preserved when the differential equation is transformed by a contact transformation. For our present case, there is an analogous result given by the following:

**Theorem 1:** *Let Eqs. (13) be a system of  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  second-order PDE's,*

with  $\Lambda_{ij}$  satisfying the conditions (14) and (27), and let

$$\overline{\partial}_{ij}\overline{Z} = \overline{\Lambda}_{ij}(\overline{u}, \overline{w}^i, \overline{s}^i), \quad (29)$$

be a second system of  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  second-order PDE's locally equivalent to Eqs. (13) under the subset of contact transformations generated by the generating function

$$H(s, s^*, \gamma, u, \bar{s}, \bar{s}^*, \bar{\gamma}, \bar{u}) = \bar{u} \mp u - G(s^i, \bar{s}^j). \quad (30)$$

Then under this subset of contact transformations the metric given by Eq. (28) is preserved.

The proof of this theorem is exactly as that presented in Ref. [16] for a system of two second-order PDE's such that on its space of solutions there is a unique four-dimensional conformal Lorentzian metric,  $g^{ab}$ , such that  $g^{ab}u_{,a}u_{,b} = 0$ . The justification of the form of the generating function (30) can be done as in Refs. [21, 22, 23]. For a definition of contact transformation see Ref. [24]

Before closing this section we remark that for,  $n = 2$  and 3, our general results reduce to that reported in Refs. [21, 22, 25]. For  $n = 4$  we have discovered that in Ref. [23] is missing one Wünschmann condition [26].

### 3 The Einstein equations

We now adopt a new point of view towards geometry on an  $n$ -dimensional manifold. Instead of a Lorentzian metric  $g^{ab}(x^a)$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , as the fundamental variable we consider as the basic variables a family of surfaces on  $\mathcal{M}$  given by  $u = \text{constant} = Z(x^a, s^i)$  or preferably its second derivatives with respect to  $s^i$ . From this new point of view these surfaces are basic and the metric is a derived concept. Now we will find the conditions on  $u = Z(x^a, s^i)$  or more accurately on the second order system such that the  $n$ -dimensional metric, Eq. (25), be a solution to the Einstein equations.

We start with the Einstein equations in  $n$  dimensions, which are given by (see for example [27, 28]).

$$R_{ab} = 8\pi G \left( T_{ab} - \frac{1}{n-2} g_{ab} T \right), \quad (31)$$

with the Ricci tensor given by

$$R_{ab} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^c} (\Gamma_{ab}^c \sqrt{-g}) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^a \partial x^b} \ln \sqrt{-g} - \Gamma_{ad}^c \Gamma_{bc}^d, \quad (32)$$

$g = \det(g_{ab})$  and

$$\Gamma_{ab}^c = \frac{1}{2} g^{cd} \left( \frac{\partial g_{da}}{\partial x^b} + \frac{\partial g_{db}}{\partial x^a} - \frac{\partial g_{ab}}{\partial x^d} \right), \quad (33)$$

are the Christoffel symbols.

As in the null surface formulation of general relativity, in the present case the Einstein equations are given by

$$R^{ab}Z_{,a}Z_{,b} = 8\pi G \left( T^{ab}Z_{,a}Z_{,b} - \frac{T}{n-2} \right). \quad (34)$$

That is, to obtain the Einstein equation in this case, we need to compute  $R^{00} \equiv R^{ab}Z_{,a}Z_{,b}$ , which is *one* of the components of  $R^{AB} \equiv R^{ab}\theta_{,a}^A\theta_{,b}^B$ . From Eq. (25) we have that  $R^{00} = R_{00}$ . Using the metric given by Eq. (25) with coordinates  $\theta^A = (\theta^0, \theta^i)$  in Eq. (32) to compute  $R_{00}$ , we find that Eq. (34) is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2\Delta}\Delta_{,uu} - \frac{1}{2\Delta^2}\Delta_{,u}^2 - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda_{ch,u}\Lambda_{dl,u}g_{hd,u}g_{lc,u} = 8\pi G \left( T^{ab}Z_{,a}Z_{,b} - \frac{T}{n-2} \right), \quad (35)$$

where the covariant components of the metric  $g_{hc} = g_{hc}[\Lambda_{mn,u}]$  are obtained as functions of  $\Lambda_{ij}$ 's from Eq. (25).

At first glance it appears that Eq. (35) cannot be equivalent to the  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  components of the Einstein equations. However, Eq. (35) is valid for any value of the  $s^i$ 's. Thus if we add to Eq. (35) the metricity or Wünschmann-like conditions, we obtain a set of consistent equations equivalent to the standard Einstein equations in  $n$  dimensions. The final equations read

$$\frac{1}{2\Delta}\Delta_{,uu} - \frac{1}{2\Delta^2}\Delta_{,u}^2 - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda_{ch,u}\Lambda_{dl,u}g_{hd,u}g_{lc,u} = 8\pi G \left( T^{ab}Z_{,a}Z_{,b} - \frac{T}{n-2} \right),$$

$$D_{s^k}[\Lambda_{mn,u}] = \Lambda_{ln,u}\Lambda_{km,w^l} + \Lambda_{lm,u}\Lambda_{kn,w^l}, \quad (36)$$

plus the integrability conditions, Eq. (14).

As we said in the introduction, we can now view the Einstein equations in either of the two closely related fashions:

We can consider Eqs. (36) as  $\frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6}$  differential equations (of high order) for *the single function*  $Z$ . In this case the integrability conditions are not relevant. Alternatively, the Einstein equations can be considered as the  $\frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6}$  equations, Eqs. (36), for the  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  independent variables  $\Lambda_{ij}$ . In this case, the integrability conditions, Eq. (14), must be added but the order of the equations is much lower.

## Conclusions

In the first part of this work, we have shown that the ideas and procedures developed in our recent papers [21, 22, 23, 25], on the H-J equation can be generalized to the  $n$ -dimensional H-J equation on an arbitrary manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ . That is, we have shown that on an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ , a definite or indefinite metric,  $g_{ab}$ , is equivalent to a family of foliations of  $\mathcal{M}$ , depending on  $(n - 1)$  parameters  $s^i$ , described by  $u = Z(x^a, s^i)$  that satisfies the Wünschmann-like conditions, Eqs. (27). Furthermore, from Eqs. (27) we observe that one can adopt other points of view, where the  $\Lambda_{ij}$  are the basic variables and  $u = Z(x^a, s^i)$  is an auxiliary variable. From this second point of view, Eqs. (27), are simpler but require that we add the integrability conditions (14) so that a  $Z$  does exist.

In the second part of this work we have reformulated the Einstein equations in  $n$  dimensions as equations for families of surfaces. If  $Z$  is taken as



the basic variable then the Einstein equations are equivalent to Eqs. (35). But if the  $\Lambda_{ij}$  are the basic variables the Einstein equations are equivalent to Eqs. (35) and (14). In both cases the Wünschmann equations are needed.

To establish our main results we have used a complete integral to the H-J equation on an  $n$ -dimensional manifold. We point out that preliminary computations suggest that a similar program can be carry out for the Eikonal equation in  $n$  dimensions. In a future paper we will present these results.

## Acknowledgments

M.M.M acknowledges the financial support from CONACyT through a scholarship and G.S.O. acknowledges the financial support from Sistema Nacional de Investigadores (México), from VIEP-BUAP through the grant II 161-04/EXC/G and from CONACyT through the grant 44515-F. E.G thanks to CONICET for financial support.

## References

- [1] M.A. Tresse, *Sur les Invariants Differentiels des Groupes Continus de Transformations*, Acta Math **18**, 1 (1894).

- [2] M.A.Tresse, *Determination des Invariantes Ponctuels de l'Equation Differentielle du Second Ordre  $y'' = \omega(x, y, y')$* , Hirzel, Leipzig (1896).
- [3] Wünschmann, *Über Berührungsbedingungen bei Integralkurven von Differentialgleichungen*, Inaug. Dissert., Teubner, Leipzig (1905).
- [4] S. Lie, *Klassifikation und Integration von gewöhnlichen Differentialgleichungen zwischen  $x, y$ , die eine Gruppe von Transformationen gestatten III*, in *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, Vol. 5, Teubner, Leipzig (1924).
- [5] E. Cartan, *Sur les Varietes a Connection Projective*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **52**, 205 (1924); Oeuvres III, 1, N70, 825, Paris, (1955).
- [6] E. Cartan, *Les Espaces Generalises e L'integration de Certaines Classes d'Equations Differentielles*, C. R. Acad. Sci., **206**, 1425 (1938).
- [7] E. Cartan, *La Geometria de las Ecuaciones Diferenciales de Tercer Orden*, Rev. Mat. Hispano-Amer. **4**, 1 (1941).
- [8] E. Cartan, *Sur une Classe d'Espaces de Weyl*, Ann. Sc. Ec. Norm. Sup., 3e serie **60**, 1 (1943).
- [9] S-S. Chern, *The Geometry of the Differential Equation  $y''' = F(x, y, y', y'')$* , in *Selected Papers*, Springer-Verlag, (1978), original (1940).
- [10] K.P. Tod, *Einstein-Weyl spaces and third-order differential equations*, J. Math. Phys. **41**, 5572-5581 (2000).

- [11] S. Frittelli, C. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, *Lorentzian metrics from characteristic surfaces*, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 4975-4983 (1995).
- [12] S. Frittelli, C. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, *GR via characteristic surfaces*, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 4984-5005 (1995).
- [13] S. Frittelli, C. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, *Linealized Einstein theory via null surfaces*, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 5005-5022 (1995).
- [14] S. Frittelli, C. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, *Differential Geometry from Differential Equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. **223**, 383 (2001).
- [15] S. Frittelli, C. Kozameh, E. T. Newman, P.Nurowski, *Cartan Normal Conformal Connections from Differential Equations*, Class. Quantum Grav. **19**, 5235 (2002).
- [16] S. Frittelli, N. Kamran, E.T. Newman, *Differential Equations and Conformal Geometry*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **43** , 133 (2002).
- [17] S. Frittelli, N. Kamran, E.T. Newman, *The Eikonal Equation, Envelopes and Contact Transformations*, Class. Quantum Grav. **20**, 1 (2003).
- [18] S. Frittelli, E. T. Newman and P. Nurowski, *Conformal Lorentzian metrics on the space of curves and 2-surfaces*, Class. Quantum Grav. **20**, 3649 (2003).
- [19] E. Gallo, C. Kozameh, E. T. Newman and K. Perkins, *Cartan normal conformal connections from a pair of second order PDEs*, Class. Quantum Grav. **21**, 4063 (2004).

- [20] C. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, K. Perkins. This is work now in progress.
- [21] P. García-Godínez, E. T. Newman, G. Silva-Ortigoza, *2-geometries and the Hamilton-Jacobi equation*, J. Math. Phys. **45**, 725 (2004).
- [22] P. García-Godínez, E. T. Newman, G. Silva-Ortigoza, *3-geometries and the Hamilton-Jacobi equation*, J. Math. Phys. **45**, 2543 (2004).
- [23] E. Montiel-Piña, E. T. Newman, G. Silva-Ortigoza, *General relativity via complete integrals of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation*, J. Math. Phys. **46**, 032502 (2005).
- [24] Peter J. Olver, *Equivalence, Invariants and Symmetry* (Cambridge University Press 1995). p. 127.
- [25] E. Gallo, *Two-dimensional Riemannian and Lorentzian geometries from second-order ODE's*, J. Math. Phys. **45** 4186 (2004).
- [26] By using the notation if Ref.[23] the missing Wünschmann condition is given by
 
$$D_s \Psi_u^* = \Psi_u \Lambda_w^* + \Psi_u^* \Lambda_w^* + \Upsilon_u \Lambda_R^* + \Phi_u \Psi_w + \Lambda_u^* \Psi_w^* + \Psi_u^* \Psi_R.$$
- [27] R. Myers and R. Perry. *Black holes in higher dimensional space-times*, Ann. Phys. (N.Y) **172** 304 (1986).
- [28] M. Cataldo, P. Salgado and P. Minning. *Selfdual Lorentzian Wormholes in N-dimensional Einstein Gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **66** 124004 (2002).